

**QUALIFICATIONS  
AND  
EXPERIENCE OF  
DR. ROY J. SHANKER**

EDUCATION:

Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, PA  
A.B., Physics, 1970

Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA  
Graduate School of Industrial Administration  
MSIA Industrial Administration, 1972  
Ph.D., Industrial Administration, 1975

Doctoral research in the development of new non-parametric multivariate techniques for data analysis, with applications in business, marketing and finance.

EXPERIENCE:

1981 - Independent Consultant  
Present P.O. Box 60450  
Potomac MD 20854

Providing management and economic consulting services in natural resource-related industries, primarily electric and natural gas utilities.

1979-81 Hagler, Bailly & Company  
2301 M Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.

Principal and a founding partner of the firm; director of electric utility practice area. The firm conducted economic, financial, and technical management consulting analyses in the natural resource area.

1976-79 Resource Planning Associates, Inc.

1901 L Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.

Principal of the firm; management consultant on resource problems, director of the Washington, D.C. utility practice. Direct supervisor of approximately 20 people.

1973-76 Institute for Defense Analysis  
Professional Staff  
400 Army-Navy Drive  
Arlington, VA

Member of 25 person doctoral level research staff conducting economic and operations research analyses of military and resource problems.

#### RELEVANT EXPERIENCE:

2013

222-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket AD13-7. Invited speaker on the Commission's technical session regarding capacity markets in RTO's. Comments addressed basic principles of market design, market features, and consequences of market failures and deviations from design principles.

221-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. EL13-62 on behalf of TC Ravenswood LLC. Two affidavits addressing the treatment of reliability support services agreements and associated capacity in the NYISO capacity market design.

2012

220-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER12-715-003. On behalf of First Energy Services Company. An affidavit and testimony addressing the appropriateness of the application of a proposed new MISO tariff provision after the fact to a withdrawing MISO member.

219-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket ER13-335. On behalf of Hydro Quebec U.S. Affidavit addressing appropriate application of ISO-NE Market Rule 1/ Tariff with respect to the qualification of new external capacity to participate in the Forward Capacity Market.

218-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket IN12-4. On behalf of 220-Deutsche Bank Energy Trading. Affidavit regarding a review of specific transactions, related congestion revenue rights, and deficiencies in CAISO tariff implementation during periods when market software produces multiple feasible pricing solutions.

217-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER12-715-003. On behalf of FirstEnergy Services Company. Affidavit regarding implementation of the MISO Tariff with respect to the determination of appropriate exit fees and charges related to certain transmission facilities.

216-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. IN12-11. On behalf of Rumford Paper Company. Affidavit regarding free riding behavior in the design of demand response programs, and its relationship to accusations of market manipulation.

215-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. IN12-10. On behalf of Lincoln Paper and Tissue LLC. Affidavit regarding relationship of demand response behavior and value established in Order 745 to claimed market impacts associated with accusations of market manipulation.

214-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. AD12-16-000. On behalf of PJM Power Providers, testimony regarding deliverability of capacity between the MISO and PJM RTO's and associated basic adequacy planning concepts.

213-United States Court Of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit. Electric Power Supply Association, et al (Petitioners) v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission et al (Respondents) Nos. 11-1486. Amici Curiae brief regarding the appropriate pricing of demand reduction services in wholesale markets vis a vis the FERC determinations in Order 745.

212-United States Supreme Court. Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania electric Company (Petitioners), Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (Respondent) (No. 12-4) Amici Curiae brief regarding the nature of physical losses in electric transmission and relationship to proper marginal cost pricing of electric power and the marginal cost of transmission service.

211-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. ER12-513-000. On behalf of PJM Power Providers, testimony regarding the establishment of system wide values for the net cost of new entry related to modifications of the Reliability Planning Model.

210-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. EL11-56-000, on behalf of First Energy Services. Affidavit regarding the appropriateness of proposed transmission cost allocation of Multi-Value Projects to an exiting member of the Midwest Independent System Operator.

209-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. ER11-4081-000, on behalf of “Capacity Suppliers”. Affidavit addressing correct market design elements for Midwest Independent System Operator proposed resource adequacy market.

208-Public Utility Commission of Ohio, Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, Nos. 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM, on behalf of First Energy Services. Testimony regarding the interaction between the capacity default rates for retail access under the PJM Fixed Resource Requirement and the PJM Reliability Planning Model valuations.

207-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Dockets No. ER11-2875, EL11-20, Staff Technical Conference on behalf of PJM Power Providers, addressing self supply and the Fixed Resource Requirement elements of PJM’s capacity market design.

206-New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, Docket Number EO11050309 on behalf of PSEG Companies. Affidavit addressing the implications of markets and market design elements, and regulatory actions on the relative risk and trade-offs between capital versus energy intensive generation investments.

205-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. ER11-2875. Affidavit and supplemental statement on behalf of PJM Power Providers addressing flaws in the PJM tariff’s Minimum Offer Price Rule regarding new capacity entry and recommendations for tariff revisions.

204-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. EL11-20. Affidavit on behalf of PJM Power Providers addressing flaws in the PJM tariff’s Minimum Offer Price Rule regarding new capacity entry.

203-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket Nos. ER04-449. Affidavit and supplemental statement on behalf of New York Suppliers addressing the appropriate criteria for the establishment of a new capacity zone in the NYISO markets.

202-New Jersey State Assembly and Senate. Statements on behalf of the Competitive Supplier Coalition addressing market power and reliability impacts of proposed legislation, Assembly Bill 3442 and Senate Bill 2381

201-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket ER11-2183. Affidavit on behalf of First Energy Services Company addressing default capacity charges for Fixed Resource Requirement participants in the PJM Reliability Pricing Model capacity market design.

200-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket ER11-2059 Affidavit on behalf of First Energy Services Company addressing deficiencies and computational problems in the proposed “exit charges” for transmission owners leaving the MISO RTO related to long term transmission rights.

199-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket RM10-17. Invited panelist addressing metrics for cost effectiveness of demand response and associated cost allocations and implications for monopsony power.

198-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Consolidated Dockets ER10-787-000, EL10-50-000, and EL10-57-000. Two affidavits on behalf of the New England Power Generators Association regarding ISO-NE modified proposals for alternative price rule mitigation and zonal definitions/functions of locational capacity markets.

197-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. ER10-2220-000. Affidavit on behalf of the Independent Energy Producers of New York. Addressing rest of state mitigation thresholds and procedures for adjusting thresholds for frequently mitigated units and reliability must run units.

196-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket PA10-1. Affidavit on behalf of Entergy Services related to development of security constrained unit commitment software and its performance.

195-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. ER09-1063-004. Testimony on behalf of the PJM Power Providers Group (P3) regarding the proposed shortage pricing mechanism to be implemented in the PJM energy market. Reply comments related to a similar proposal by the independent market monitor.

194-PJM RTO. Statement regarding the impact of the exercise of buyer market power in the PJM RPM/Capacity market. Panel discussant on the issue at the associated Long Term Capacity Market Issues Symposium.

193-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. ER10-787-000. Affidavit on behalf of New England Power Generators Association addressing proper design of the alternative price rules (APR) for the ISO-NE Forward Capacity Auctions. Second affidavit offered in reply. Supplemental affidavit also submitted

192-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. RM10-17-000. Affidavit on behalf of New England Power Generators Association addressing proper pricing for demand response compensation in organized wholesale regional transmission organizations.

191-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. RM10-17-000, Affidavit on my on behalf regarding inconsistent representations made between filings in this docket and contemporaneous materials presented in the PJM stakeholder process.

2009

190-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. ER09-1682. Two affidavits on behalf of an un-named party regarding confidential treatment of market data coupled with specific market participant bidding, and associated issues.

189-American Arbitration Association, Case No. 75-198-Y-00042-09 JMLE, on behalf of Rathdrum Power LLC. Report on the operation of specific pricing provision of a tolling power purchase agreement.

188-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. IN06-3-003. Analyses on behalf of Energy Transfer Partners L.P. regarding trading activity in physical and financial natural gas markets.

187-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER08-1281-000. Analyses on behalf of Fortis Energy Trading related to the impacts of loop flow on trading activities and pricing.

186-American Arbitration Association. Report on behalf of PEPCO Energy Services regarding several trading transactions related to the purchase and sale of Installed Capacity under the PJM Reliability Pricing Model.

185-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. EL-0-47. Analyses on behalf of HQ Energy services (U.S.) regarding pricing and sale of energy associated with capacity imports into ISO-NE.

184-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket No. ER04-449 019, Affidavit on behalf of HQ Energy Services (U.S.) regarding the implementation of the consensus deliverability plan for the NYISO, and associated reliability impacts of imports.

183-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket ER09-412-000, ER05-1410-010, EL05-148-010. Affidavit and Reply Affidavit on behalf of PSEG Companies addressing proposed changes to the PJM Reliability Pricing Model and rebuttal related to other parties' filings.

2008

182-Pennsylvania Public Service Commission. *En Banc* Public Hearing on "Current and Future Wholesale Electricity Markets", comments regarding the design of PJM wholesale market pricing and state restructuring.

181-Maine Public Utility Commission. Docket No. 2008-156. Testimony on behalf of a consortium of energy producers and suppliers addressing the potential withdrawal of Maine from ISO New England and associated market and supplier response.

180-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. EL08-67-000. Affidavit on behalf of Duke Energy Ohio and Reliant Energy regarding criticisms of the PJM reliability pricing model (RPM) transitional auctions.

179-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket AD08-4, on behalf of the PJM Power Providers. Statement and participation in technical session regarding the design and operation of capacity markets, the status of the PJM RPM market and comments regarding additional market design proposals.

178-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket ER06-456-006, Testimony on behalf of East Coast Power and Long Island Power Authority regarding appropriate cost allocation procedures for merchant transmission facilities within PJM.

2007

177-FERC Docket No. EL07-39-000. Testimony on behalf of Mirant Companies and Entergy Nuclear Power Marketing regarding the operation of the NYISO In-City Capacity market and the associated rules and proposed rule modifications.

176-FERC Dockets: RM07-19-000 and AD07-7-000, filing on behalf of the PJM Power Providers addressing conservation and scarcity pricing issues identified in the Commission's ANOPR on Competition.

175-FERC Docket No. EL07-67-000. Testimony and reply comments on behalf of Hydro Quebec U.S. regarding the operation of the NYISO TCC market and appropriate bidding and competitive practices in the TCC and Energy markets.

174-FERC Docket Nos. EL06-45-003. Testimony on behalf of El Paso Electric regarding the appropriate interpretation of a bilateral transmission and exchange agreement.

2006

173-United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. Case No. 01-16034 (AJG). Report on Behalf of EPMI regarding the properties and operation of a power purchase agreement.

172-FERC Docket No. EL05-148-000. Testimony regarding the proposed Reliability Pricing Model settlement submitted for the PJM RTO.

171-FERC Docket No. ER06-1474-000, FERC. Testimony on behalf of the PSEG Companies regarding the PJM proposed new policy for including "market efficiency" transmission upgrades in the regional transmission expansion plan.

170-FERC Docket No. EL05-148-000, FERC. Participation in Commission technical sessions regarding the PJM proposed Reliability Pricing Model.

169-FERC Docket No. EL05-148-000, FERC. Comments filed on behalf of six PJM market participants concerning the proposed rules for participation in the PJM Reliability Pricing Model Installed Capacity market, and related rules for opting out of the RPM market.

168-FERC Docket No. ER06-407-000. Testimony on behalf of GSG, regarding interconnection issues for new wind generation facilities within PJM.

2005

167-FERC Docket No. EL05-121-000, Testimony on behalf of several PJM Transmission Owners (Responsible Pricing Alliance) regarding alternative regional rate designs for transmission service and associated market design issues.



166-FERC Technical Conference of June 16, 2005. (Docket Nos. PL05-7-000, EL03-236-000, ER04-539-000). Invited participant. Statement regarding the operation of the PJM Capacity market and the proposed new Reliability Pricing Model Market design.

165-American Arbitration Association Nos. 16-198-00206-03 16-198-002070. On behalf of PG&E Energy Trading. Analyses related to the operation and interpretation of power purchase and sale/tolling agreements and electrical interconnection requirements.

164-Arbitration on behalf of Black Hills Power, Inc. Expert testimony related to a power purchase and sale and energy exchange agreement, as well as FERC criteria related to the applicable code and standards of conduct.

2004

163-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. Docket No. EL03-236-003 Testimony on behalf of Mirant companies relating to PJM proposal for compensation of frequently mitigated generation facilities.

162-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER03-563-030. Testimony on behalf of Calpine Energy Services regarding the development of a locational Installed Capacity market and associated generator service obligations for ISO-NE. Supplemental testimony filed 2005.

161-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. EL04-135-000. Testimony on behalf on the Unified Plan Supporters regarding implications of using a flow based rate design to allocate embedded costs.

160-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER04-1229-000. Testimony on behalf of EME Companies regarding the allocation and recovery of administrative charges in the NYISO markets.

159-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Dockets No. EL01-19-000, No. EL01-19-001, No. EL02-16-000, EL02-16-000. Testimony on behalf of PSE&G Energy Resources and Trade regarding pricing in the New York Independent System Operator energy markets.

158-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Invited panelist regarding performance based regulation (PBR) and wholesale market design. Comments related to the potential role of PBR in transmission expansion, and its interaction with market mechanisms for new transmission.

157-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER04-539-000  
Testimony on behalf of EME Companies regarding proposed market  
mitigation in the energy and capacity markets of the Northern Illinois  
Control Area.

156-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Standardization of Generator  
Interconnection Agreements and Procedures Docket No. RM02-1-001,  
Order 2003-A, Affidavit on Behalf of PSEG Companies regarding the  
modifications on rehearing to interconnection crediting procedures.

155-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Dockets ER03-236-  
000,ER04-364-000,ER04-367-000,ER04-375-000. Testimony on behalf of  
the EME Companies regarding proposed market mitigation measures in  
the Northern Illinois Control Area of PJM.

154-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Dockets PL04-2-000, EL03-  
236-000. Invited panelist, testimony related to local market power and the  
appropriate levels of compensation for reliability must run resources.

2003

153-American Arbitration Association. 16 Y 198 00204 03. Report on  
behalf of Trigen-Cinergy Solutions regarding an energy services  
agreement related to a cogeneration facility.

152-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. EL03-236-000.  
Testimony on behalf of EME Companies regarding the PJM proposed  
tariff changes addressing mitigation of local market power and the  
implementation of a related auction process.

151-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. PA03-12-000.  
Testimony on behalf of Pepco Holdings Incorporated regarding  
transmission congestion and related issues in market design in general, and  
specifically addressing congestion on the Delmarva Peninsula.

150-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket Nos. ER03-262-007,  
Affidavit on behalf of EME Companies regarding the cost benefit analysis  
of the operation of an expanded PJM including Commonwealth Edison.

149-Supreme Court of the State of New York, Index No. 601505/01.  
Report on behalf of Trigen-Syracuse Energy Corporation regarding energy  
trading and sales agreements and the operation of the New York  
Independent System Operator.

148-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER03-262-000. Affidavit on behalf of the EME Companies regarding the issues associated with the integration of the Commonwealth Edison Company into PJM.

147-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER03-690-000. Affidavit on behalf of Hydro Quebec US regarding New York ISO market rules at external generator proxy buses when such buses are deemed non-competitive.

146-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket RT01-2-006,007. Affidavit on behalf of the PSEG Companies regarding the PJM Regional Transmission Expansion Planning Protocol, and proper incentives and structure for merchant transmission expansion.

145-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER03-406-000. Affidavit on behalf of seven PJM Stakeholders addressing the appropriateness of the proposed new Auction Revenue Rights/Financial Transmission Rights process to be implemented by the PJM ISO.

144-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER01-2998-002. Testimony on behalf of Pacific Gas and Electric Company related to the cause and allocation of transmission congestion charges.

143-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. RM01-12-000. On behalf of six different companies including both independent generators, integrated utilities and distribution companies comments on the proposed resource adequacy requirements of the Standard Market Design.

142-United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 01-30923 DM. On behalf of Pacific Gas and Electric Dr. Shanker presented testimony addressing issues related to transmission congestion, and the proposed FERC SMD and California MD02 market design proposals.

2002

141-Arbitration. Testimony on behalf of AES Ironwood regarding the operation of a tolling agreement and its interaction with PJM market rules.

140-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. RM01-12-000. Dr. Shanker was asked by the three Northeast ISO's to present a summary of his resource adequacy proposal developed in the Joint Capacity Adequacy Group. This was part of the Standard Market Design NOPR process.

139-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER02-456-000. Testimony on behalf of Electric Gen LLC addressing comparability of a contract among affiliates with respect to non-price terms and conditions.

138-Circuit Court for Baltimore City. Case 24-C-01-000234. Testimony on behalf of Baltimore Refuse Energy Systems Company regarding the appropriate implementation and pricing of a power purchase agreement and related Installed Capacity credits.

137-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. RM01-12-000. Comments on the characteristics of capacity adequacy markets and alternative market design systems for implementing capacity adequacy markets.

2001

136-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket ER02-456-000. Testimony on behalf of Electric Gen LLC regarding the terms and conditions of a power sales agreement between PG&E and Electric Generating Company LLC.

135-Delaware Public Service Commission. Docket 01-194. On behalf of Conectiv et al. Testimony relating to the proper calculation of Locational Marginal Prices in the PJM market design, and the function of Fixed Transmission Rights.

134-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. IN01-7-000 On behalf of Exelon Corporation . Testimony relating to the function of Fixed Transmission Rights, and associated business strategies in the PJM market system.

133-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. RM01-12-000. Comments on the basic elements of RTO market design and the required market elements.

132-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. RT01-99-000. On behalf of the One RTO Coalition. Affidavit on the computational feasibility of large scale regional transmission organizations and related issues in the PJM and NYISO market design.

131-Arbitration. On behalf of Hydro Quebec. Testimony related to the eligibility of power sales to qualify as Installed Capacity within the New York Independent system operator.

130-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case No. PUE000584. On behalf of the Virginia Independent Power Producers. Testimony related to

the proposed restructuring of Dominion Power and its impact on private power contracts.

129-United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division, Case: 1:00CV1729. On behalf of Federal Energy Sales, Inc. Testimony related to damages in disputed electric energy trading transactions.

128-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket Number ER01-2076-000. Testimony on behalf of Aquila Energy Marketing Corp and Edison Mission Marketing and Trading, Inc. relating to the implementation of an Automated Mitigation Procedure by the New York ISO.

2000

127-New York Independent System Operator Board. Statement on behalf of Hydro Quebec, U.S. regarding the implications and impacts of the imposition of a price cap on an operating market system.

126-Federal Energy Regulatory Administration. Docket No. EL00-24-000. Testimony on behalf of Dayton Power and Light Company regarding the proper characterization and computation of regulation and imbalance charges.

125-American Arbitration Association File 71-198-00309-99. Report on behalf of Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. regarding the estimation of damages associated with the termination of a power marketing agreement.

124-Circuit Court, 15<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County, Florida. On behalf of Okeelanta and Osceola Power Limited Partnerships et. al. Analyses related to commercial operation provisions of a power purchase agreement.

1999

123-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER00-1-000. Testimony on behalf of TransEnergie U.S. related to market power associated with merchant transmission facilities. Also related analyses regarding market based tariff design for merchant transmission facilities.

122-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket RM99-2-000. Analyses on behalf of Edison Mission Energy relating to the Regional Transmission Organization Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

121-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. ER99-3508-000. On behalf of PG&E Energy Trading, analyses associated with the

proposed implementation and cutover plan for the New York Independent System Operator.

120-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. EL99-46-000. Comments on behalf of the Electric Power Supply Association relating to the Capacity Benefit Margin.

119-New York Public Service Commission, Case 97-F-1563. Testimony on behalf of Athens Generating Company describing the impacts on pricing and transmission of a new generation facility within the New York Power Pool under the new proposed ISO tariff.

118-JAMS Arbitration Case No. 1220019318 On behalf of Fellows Generation Company. Testimony related to the development of the independent power and qualifying facility industry and related industry practices with respect to transactions between cogeneration facilities and thermal hosts.

117-Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania. Analyses on behalf of Chase Manhattan Bank and Grays Ferry Cogeneration Partnership related to power purchase agreements and electric utility restructuring.

1998

116-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case No. PUE 980463. Testimony on behalf of Appomattax Cogeneration related to the proper implementation of avoided cost methodology.

115-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case No. PUE980462 Testimony on behalf of Virginia Independent Power Producers related to an application for a certificate for new generation facilities.

114-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Analyses related to a number of dockets reflecting amendments to the PJM ISO tariff and Reliability Assurance Agreement.

113-U.S. District Court, Western Oklahoma. CIV96-1595-L. Testimony related to anti-competitive elements of utility rate design and promotional actions.

112-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Dockets No. EL94-45-001 and QF88-84-006. Analyses related to historic measurement of spot prices for as available energy.

111-Circuit Court, Fourth Judicial Circuit, Duval County, Florida.  
Analyses related to the proper implementation of a power purchase agreement and associated calculations of capacity payments. (Testimony 1999)

1997

110-United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, CA No. 3:97CV 231. Analyses of the business and market behavior of Virginia Power with respect to the implementation of wholesale electric power purchase agreements.

109-United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, Case No. 96-594-CIV, Analyses related to anti-competitive practices by an electric utility and related contract matters regarding the appropriate calculation of energy payments.

108-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case No. PUE960296. Testimony related to the restructuring proposal of Virginia Power and associated stranded cost issues.

107-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Dockets No. ER97-1523-000 and OA97-470-000, Analyses related to the restructuring of the New York Power Pool and the implementation of locational marginal cost pricing.

106-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Dockets No. OA97-261-000 and ER97-1082-000 Analyses and testimony related to the restructuring of the PJM Power Pool and the implementation of locational marginal cost pricing.

105-Missouri Public Service Commission. Case No. ET-97-113. Testimony related to the proper definition and rate design for standby, supplemental and maintenance service for Qualifying facilities.

104-American Arbitration Association. Case 79 Y 199 00070 95. Testimony and analyses related to the proper conditions necessary for the curtailment of Qualifying Facilities and the associated calculations of negative avoided costs.

103-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case Number PUE960117 Testimony related to proper implementation of the differential revenue requirements methodology for the calculation of avoided costs.

102-New York Public Service Commission. Case 96-E-0897, Analyses related to the restructuring of Consolidated Edison Company of New York and New York Power Pool proposed Independent System Operator and related transmission tariffs.

1996

101-Florida Public Service Commission. Docket No. 950110-EI. Testimony related to the correct calculation of avoided costs using the Value of Deferral methodology and its implementation.

100-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Dockets No. EL94-45-001 and QF88-84-006. Testimony and Analyses related to the estimation of historic market rates for electricity in the Virginia Power service territory.

99-Circuit Court of the City of Richmond Case No. LA-2266-4. Analyses related to the incurrence of actual and estimated damages associated with the outages of an electric generation facility.

98-New Hampshire Public Utility Commission, Docket No. DR96-149. Analyses related to the requirements of light loading for the curtailment of Qualifying Facilities, and the compliance of a utility with such requirements.

97-State of New York Supreme Court, Index No. 94-1125. Testimony related to system planning criteria and their relationship to contract performance specifications for a purchased power facility.

96-United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, Civil Action No. 95-0658. Analyses related to anti-competitive actions of an electric utility with respect to a power purchase agreement.

95-United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, Southern Division. Civil Action Number CV-96-PT 0097-S. Affidavit on behalf of TVA and LG&E Power regarding displacement in wholesale power transactions.

1995

94-American Arbitration Association. Arbitration No. 14 198 012795 H/K. Report concerning the correct measurement of savings resulting from a commercial building cogeneration system and associated contract compensation issues.



93-Circuit Court City of Richmond. Law No. LX-2859-1. Analyses related to IPP contract structure and interpretation regarding plant compensation under different operating conditions.

92-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Case EL95-28-000. Affidavit concerning the provisions of the FERC regulations related to the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, and relationship of estimated avoided cost to traditional rate based recovery of utility investment.

91-New York Public Service Commission, Case 95-E-0172, Testimony on the correct design of standby, maintenance and supplemental service rates for qualifying facilities.

90-Florida Public Service Commission, Docket No. 941101-EQ. Testimony related to the proper analyses and procedures related to the curtailment of purchases from Qualifying Facilities under Florida and FERC regulations.

89-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Dockets ER95-267-000 and EL95-25-000. Testimony related to the proper evaluation of generation expansion alternatives.

1994

88-American Arbitration Association, Case Number 11 Y198 00352 94 Analyses related to contract provisions for milestones and commercial operation date and associated termination and damages related to the construction of a NUG facility.

87-United States District Court, Middle District Florida, Case No. 94-303 Civ-Orl-18. Analyses related to contract pricing interpretation other contract matters in a power purchase agreement between a qualifying facility and Florida Power Corporation.

86-Florida Public Service Commission Docket 94037-EQ. Analyses related to a contract dispute between Orlando Power Generation and Florida Power Corporation.

85-Florida Public Service Commission Docket 941101-EQ. Testimony and analyses of the proper procedures for the determination and measurement for the need to curtail purchases from qualifying facilities.

84-New York Public Service Commission Case 93-E-0272, Testimony regarding PURPA policy considerations and the status of services provided to the generation and consuming elements of a qualifying facility.

83-Circuit Court for the City of Richmond. Case Number LW 730-4. Analyses of the historic avoided costs of Virginia Power, related procedures and fixed fuel transportation rate design.

82-New York Public Service Commission, Case 93-E-0958 Analyses of Stand-by, Supplementary and Maintenance Rates of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation for Qualifying Facilities .

81-New York Public Service Commission, Case 94-E-0098. Analyses of cost of service and rate design of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation.

80-American Arbitration Association, Case 55-198-0198-93, Arbitrator in contract dispute regarding the commercial operation date of a qualifying small power generation facility.

1993

79-U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York Case 92 Civ 5755. Analyses of contract provisions and associated commercial terms and conditions of power purchase agreements between an independent power producer and Orange and Rockland Utilities.

78-State Corporation Commission, Virginia. Case No. PUE920041. Testimony related to the appropriate evaluation of historic avoided costs in Virginia and the inclusion of gross receipt taxes.

77-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket ER93-323-000. Evaluations and analyses related to the financial and regulatory status of a cogeneration facility.

76-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket EL93-45-000; Docket QF83-248-002. Analyses related to the qualifying status of cogeneration facility.

75-Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Dade County, Florida. Case No. 92-08605-CA-06. Analyses related to compliance with electric and thermal energy purchase agreements. Damage analyses and testimony.

74-Board of Regulatory Commissioners, State of New Jersey. Docket EM 91010067. Testimony regarding the revised GPU/Duquesne 500 MW power sales agreement and associated transmission line.

73-State of North Carolina Utilities Commission. Docket No. E-100 Sub 67. Testimony in the consideration of rate making standards pursuant to Section 712 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

72-State of New York Public Service Commission. Cases 88-E-081 and 92-E-0814. Testimony regarding appropriate procedures for the determination of the need for curtailment of qualifying facilities and associated proper production cost modeling and measurement.

71-Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. Docket No. A-110300f051. Testimony regarding the prudence of the revised GPU/Duquesne 500 MW power sales agreement and associated transmission line.

1992

70-Pennsylvania Public Service Commission. Dockets No. P-870235,C-913318,P-910515,C-913764. Testimony regarding the calculation of avoided costs for GPU/Penelec.

69-Public Service Commission of Maryland. Case No. 8413,8346. Testimony on the appropriate avoided costs for Pepco, and appropriate procedures for contract negotiation.

1991

68-Board of Regulatory Commissioners, State of New Jersey. Docket EM-91010067. Testimony regarding the planned purchase of 500 MW by GPU from Duquesne Light Company.

67-Public Service Commission of Wisconsin. Docket 05-EP-6. State Advance Plan. Testimony on the calculation of avoided costs and the structuring of payments to qualifying facilities.

66-State Corporation Commission, Virginia. Case No. PUE910033. Testimony on class rate of return and rate design for delivery point service. Northern Virginia Electric Cooperative.

65-State Corporation Commission, Virginia. Case No. PUE910048. Testimony on proper data and modeling procedures to be used in the evaluation of the annual Virginia Power fuel factor.

64-State Corporation Commission, Virginia. Case No. PUE910035. Evaluation of the differential revenue requirements method for the calculation of avoided costs.

63-Public Service Commission of Maryland. Case Number 8241 Phase II. Testimony related to the proper determination of avoided costs for Baltimore Gas and Electric.

62-Public Service Commission of Maryland. Case Number 8315. Evaluation of the system expansion planning methodology and the associated impacts on marginal costs and rate design, PEPCO.

1990

61-Public Utility Commission, State of California, Application 90-12-064. Analyses related to the contractual obligations between San Diego Gas and Electric and a proposed QF.

60-Montana Public Service Commission. Docket 90.1.1 Testimony and analyses related to natural gas transportation, services and rates.

59-State Corporation Commission, Virginia. Case No. PUE890075. Testimony on the calculation of full avoided costs via the differential revenue requirements methodology.

58-District of Columbia Public Service Commission. Formal Case 834 Phase II. Analyses and development of demand side management programs and least cost planning for Washington Gas Light.

57-State Corporation Commission, Virginia. Case No. PUE890076. Analyses related to administratively set avoided costs. Determination of optimal expansion plans for Virginia Power.

56-State Corporation Commission, Virginia. Case No. PUE900052. Analyses supporting arbitration of a power purchase agreement with Virginia Power. Determination of expansion plan and avoided costs.

55-Public Service Commission of Maryland. Case Number 8251. Analyses of system expansion planning models and marginal cost rate design for PEPCO.

54-State Corporation Commission, Virginia. Case No. PUE900054. Evaluation of fuel factor application and short term avoided costs.

53-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Northeast Utilities Service Company Docket Nos. EC90-10-000, ER90-143-000, ER90-144-000, ER90-145-000 and EI90-9-000. Analyses of the implications of Northeast Utilities and Public Service Company of New Hampshire merger on electric supply and pricing.

52-Public Service Commission of Maryland. Re: Southern Maryland Electric Cooperative Inc. Contract with Advanced Power Systems, Inc. and PEPCO.

51-Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Office of the Governor of Puerto Rico. Independent evaluation for PREPA of avoided costs and the evaluation of competing QF's.

50-State Corporation Commission, Virginia. Case No. PUE890041. Testimony on the proper determination of avoided costs with respect to Old Dominion Electric Cooperative.

1989

49-Oklahoma Corporation Commission. Case Number PUD-000586. Analyses related to system planning and calculation of avoided costs for Public Service of Oklahoma.

48-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case Number PUE890007. Testimony relating to the proper determination of avoided costs to the certification evaluation of new generation facilities.

47-Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket RP85-50. Analyses of the gas transportation rates, terms and conditions filed by Florida Gas Transmission.

46-Circuit Court of the Fifth Judicial Circuit, Dade County, Florida. Case No. 88-48187. Analyses related to compliance with electric and thermal energy purchase agreements.

45-Florida Public Service Commission. Docket 880004-EU. Analysis of state wide expansion planning procedures and associated avoided unit.

1988

44-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case No. PUE870081. Testimony on the implementation of the differential revenue requirements avoided cost methodology recommended by the SCC Task Force.

43-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case No. PUE880014. Testimony on the design and level of standby, maintenance and supplemental power rates for qualifying facilities.

42-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case No. PUE99038. Testimony on the natural gas transportation rate design and service provisions.

41-Montana Public Service Commission. Docket 87.8.38. Testimony on Natural Gas Transmission Rate Design and Service Provisions.

40-Oklahoma Corporation Commission. Cause Pud No. 00345.  
Testimony on estimation and level of avoided cost payments for qualifying facilities.

39-Florida Public Service Commission. Docket No.8700197-EI.  
Testimony on the methodology for establishing non-firm load service levels.

38-Arizona Corporation Commission. Docket No. U-1551-86-300.  
Analysis of cost-of-service studies and related terms and conditions for material gas transportation rates.

1987

37-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case No. PUE870028.  
Analysis of Virginia Power fuel factor application and relationship to avoided costs.

36-District of Columbia Public Service Commission. Formal Case No. 834 Phase II. Analysis of the theory and empirical basis for establishing cost effectiveness of natural gas conservation programs.

35-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case No. PUE860058.  
Testimony on the relationship of small power producers and cogenerators to the need for power and new generation facilities.

34-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case No. PUE870025.  
Testimony addressing the proper design of rates for standby, maintenance and supplement power sales to cogenerators.

33-Florida Public Service Commission. Docket No. 860004 EU.  
Testimony in the 1986 annual planning hearing on proper system expansion planning procedures.

1986

32-Florida Public Service Commission. Docket No. 860001 EI-E.  
Testimony on the proper methodology for the estimation of avoided O&M costs.

31-Florida Public Service Commission. Docket No. 860786-EI.  
Testimony on the proper economic analysis for the evaluation of self-service wheeling.

30-U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Ohio. Testimony on capabilities to develop and operate wood-fired qualifying facility.

29-Public Utility Commission, New Hampshire Docket No. DR-86-41. Testimony on pricing and contract terms for power purchase agreement between utility and QFs. (Settlement Negotiations)

28-Florida Public Service Commission, Docket No. 850673-EU. Testimony on generic issues related to the design of standby rates for qualifying facilities.

27-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Case No. 860024. Generic hearing on natural gas transportation rate design and tariff terms and conditions.

26-Virginia State Corporation Commission. Commonwealth Gas Pipeline Corporation. Case No. 850052. Testimony on natural gas transportation rate design and tariff terms and conditions.

25-Bonneville Power Administration. Case No. VI86. Testimony on the proposed Variable Industrial Power Rate for Aluminum Smelters.

24-Virginia Power. Case No. PUE860011. Testimony on the proper ex post facto valuation of avoided power costs for qualifying facilities.

23-Florida Public Service Commission. Docket No. 850004 EU. Testimony on proper analytic procedures for developing a statewide generation expansion plan and associated avoided unit.

1985

22-Virginia Natural Gas. Docket No. 85-0036. Testimony and cost of service procedures and rate design for natural gas transportation service.

21-Arkansas Louisiana Gas. Louisiana Docket No. U-16534. Testimony on proper cost of service procedures and rate design for natural gas service.

20-Connecticut Light and Power. Docket No. 85-08-08. Assist in the development of testimony for industrial natural gas transportation rates.

19-Oklahoma Gas and Electric. Cause 29727. Testimony and system operations and the development of avoided cost measurements as the basis for rates to qualifying facilities.

18-Florida Public Service Commission. Docket No. 840399EU. Testimony on self-service wheeling and business arrangements for qualifying facilities.

17-Virginia Electric and Power Company. General Rate application No. PUE840071. Testimony on proper rate design procedures and computations for development of supplemental, maintenance and standby service for cogenerators.

16-Virginia Electric and Power Company. Fuel Factor Proceeding No. PUE850001. Testimony on the proper use of the PROMOD model and associated procedures in setting avoided cost energy rates for cogenerators.

15-New York State Public Service Commission. Case No. 28962. Development of the use of multi-area PROMOD models to estimate avoided energy costs for six private utilities in New York State.

14-Vermont Rate Hearings on Payments to Small Power Producers. Case No. 4933. Testimony on proper assumptions, procedures and analysis for the development of avoided cost rates.

1984

13-Northern Virginia Electric Cooperative. Case No. PUE840041. Testimony on class cost-of-service procedures, class rate of return and rate design.

12-BPA 1985 Wholesale Rate Proceedings. Analysis of Power 1985 Rate Directives. Testimony on theory and implementation of marginal cost rate design.

11-Virginia Electric Power Company. Application to Revise Rate Schedule 19 -- Power Purchases from Cogeneration and Small Power Production Qualifying Facilities. Case No. PUE830067. Testimony on proper PROMOD modeling procedures for power purchases and properties of PROMOD model.

10-Northern Virginia Electric Cooperative. Case No. PUE840041. Testimony on class cost-of-service procedures, class rate of return and rate design.

9-BPA 1985 Wholesale Rate Proceedings. Analysis of Power 1985 Rate Directives. Testimony on the theory and implementation of marginal cost rate design, financial performance of BPA; interactions between rate design, demand, system expansion and operation.

1983



8-Northern Virginia Electric Cooperative. Case No. PUE830040.  
Testimony on class cost-of-service procedures, class rate of return and rate design.

7-Vermont Rate Hearings to Small Power Producers. No.4804.  
Testimony on proper use and application of production costing analyses to the estimation of avoided costs.

6-BPA Wholesale Rate Proceedings. Testimony on the theory and implementation of marginal cost rate design; financial performance of BPA; interactions between rate design, demand, system expansion and operation.

5-Idaho Power Company, PUC-U-1006-185. Analysis of system planning/production costing model play of hydro regulation and associated energy costs.

1982

4-Generic Conservation Proceedings, New York State. Case No. 18223.  
Testimony on the economic criteria for the evaluation of conservation activities; impacts on utility financial performance and rate design.

3-PEPCO, Washington Gas Light. DCPSC-743. Financial evaluation of conservation activities; procedures for cost classification, allocation; rate design.

2-PEPCO, Maryland PSC Case Nos. 7597-I, 7597-II, and 7652. Testimony on class rates of return, cost classification and allocation, power pool operations and sales.

1981

1-Pacific Gas and Electric. California PSC Case No. 60153. Testimony on rate design; class cost-of-service and rate of return.

Previous testimony before the District of Columbia  
Public Service Commission, Maryland PSC, New York Public Service  
Commission, FERC; Economic Regulatory Administration